



## How To Tell Fibs And Influence People

A recent article published by the Combating Terrorism Center at the Establishment-run West Point Military Academy is a good example of how the Establishment works and has worked in the past few decades to mould 'public opinion' in regard to the individuals and groups who oppose multiculturalism. {1}

The article, titled *The Iron March Forum and the Evolution of the Skull Mask Neo-Fascist Network*, {2} written by someone working for the Accelerationism Research Consortium, is an opinion-piece of the type considered a 'reliable source' by the Establishment, by Establishment supporters, by the Media, and by those of the special-interest advocacy groups and the public who agree with Establishment orthodoxy in the matter of multiculturalism. It is also the type of 'reliable source' used by Wikipedia to inform Joe and Jane public about politicians, political groups, and individuals.

Yet the article is, in the matter of both the subculture known as the Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) and David Myatt, fundamentally flawed with its use as a 'reliable source' by anyone amounting to the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam, the fallacy of the appeal to authority.

It is flawed for two basic reasons.

1. Under the heading *The Order of Nine Angles and Terrorist Radicalization* the authoress {3} states that "the O9A is a occultist current founded by David Myatt in the late 1960s in the United Kingdom," for which only one reference is given. The reference is to the book *Black Sun* by Nicholas Goodrick-Clarke in which Goodrick-Clarke associates Myatt with the O9A and states that Myatt founded the O9A, for which claims he provides no evidential facts, no documents, no references, only giving his personal opinion that Myatt was the author of a typewritten manuscript titled *Diablerie* a copy of which is in the British Library and for which attribution Goodrick-Clarke provided no evidential facts.

Neither Goodrick-Clarke nor anyone who uses his personal opinion in that book as 'proof' that Myatt founded the O9A and was 'Anton Long' has (i) bothered to mention Myatt's denial of writing that manuscript, (ii) mentioned Myatt's claim that it is a forgery, or (iii) provided any evidential facts regarding him being Anton Long or of founding the O9A.

2. The authoress provides no citations whatsoever for her opinions about the O9A, instead using unscholarly expressions such as "O9A text. Title withheld for public safety reasons," and "Author's analysis of O9A texts and other primary documents associated with O9A offshoots."

Thus she writes without providing any citations:

"The network's transition from activism to terrorism was facilitated by the introduction of violent ritualistic initiation practices derived from the writings of the Order of Nine Angles, which helped to habituate members to violence as well as to create a sense of shared membership in a militant elite."

What violent ritualistic initiation practices? What O9A writings are they derived from? The O9A - being the esoteric philosophy of Anton Long as described in his writings and only in his writings {4} - has no such violent ritualistic initiation practices, and there are no writings by Anton Long which condone or incite such practices.

Therefore, at best the authoress is telling fibs; at worst she is writing propaganda on behalf of the Establishment whose propagandistic ill-informed views about the O9A were well-expressed by elected British politicians in 2021:

Dear Home Secretary

I am writing to request a meeting to discuss the urgency of proscribing the Nazi-occultist group the Order of Nine Angles (O9A).

Along with HOPE not hate, the anti-fascist organisation whose parliamentary group I chair, I've been pressing the prescription review group to urgently assess the O9A threat and to bring forward the required parliamentary motion to proscribe O9A.

I have raised this matter both in the House and publicly a number of times. The O9A pose a significant threat to the lives and security of families in Britain.

This morning, the BBC reported that the man who murdered Bibaa Henry and Nicole Smallman in Wembley had been influenced by a man closely associated with O9A. These brutal and savage murders were inspired by the killers interest in satanism - an ideology which is promoted by, O9A - as well as Neo-Nazism.

This is not the first horrendous crime involving the O9A. This is a group that is promoting and praising terrorist attacks, especially attacks which involve sexual violence. Recently, a serving US soldier was indicted for conspiring with O9A supporters to launch a terror attack on his own unit.

Proscription will send a clear message that we are united against their hate and violence, and it would provide the police and security services with additional powers to stop this vile group from organising - and potentially save further lives from being lost.

I do urge you to ensure that they are proscribed urgently and I look forward to your reply and the opportunity to discuss this matter with you soon.

Yours sincerely



Stephanie Peacock MP

**Will the Government, as highlighted by the group Hope not Hate, whose work I pay tribute to, seek to outlaw the neo-Nazi organisation Order of the Nine Angles, alongside other despicable far-right groups? I pay tribute to my hon. Friend the Member for Barnsley East (Stephanie Peacock) for the work that she continues to do on this as chair of the all-party Hope not Hate group. Clearly, proscription should mark the start and not the end of the process. As such, is the Minister happy with the level of enforcement against proscribed organisations and their members?**

Conor McGinn, MP for St Helens North:

<https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/2021-07-13/debates/673D4D8F-D90C-450B-B7E8-26B63018E5D3/PreventionAndSuppressionOfTerrorism>

That their views about the O9A and about Mr Myatt were and are propagandistic and ill-informed is obvious given that their views are not based on evidential facts, as texts such as *The Occult Phantom Menace* {5} and *The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long* {6} have revealed.

### **Influencing People**

That such an article as *The Order of Nine Angles and Terrorist Radicalization* and the personal opinion of an academic such as Goodrick-Clarke - neither of whom present any evidential facts - are classed as 'reliable sources' is a triumph for the Establishment and their multicultural agenda, and an example of how it is not just the hoi polloi who commit the fallacy of 'appeal to authority', by for instance using such internet sources as Wikipedia, but also that modern academics as well as those who are part of or who support the Establishment and its agenda commit the fallacy.

Which indicates something not only about modern Western societies and modern democracy {7} but also about the hoi polloi, about modern academics, about modern politicians, journalists, the Media, and the Establishment itself.

What is indicated is the astonishing lack of logical reasoning among the populace and among the educated professional class; an astonishing acceptance of propaganda by the majority, and an astonishing lack of scholarship in academia; {8} and astonishing because of the well-established tertiary educational system of Western societies and the mandatory schooling of children.

Such an astonishing lack of logical reasoning among the peoples of the West after thousands of years of civilization is an indictment of modern government, an indictment of the modern State; an indictment of mass urban living; and an indictment of the modern educational system. It is also an example of how the causal abstractions now embedded in ideologies and political parties as well as in the educational system have triumphed over *pathei-mathos* and the virtues of *καλὸς κἀγαθός*. {9}

In regard to the astonishing acceptance of propaganda by the majority, as a perspicacious writer recently noted in

respect of how Western governments have bamboozled the public in 2022 into supporting yet another war:

"Because selling the war to the public is a built-in component of all war strategy, the war will always look necessary from the mainstream perspective, and it won't look like those other wars which we now know in retrospect were mistakes. It's always designed to look appealing. There's never *not* going to be atrocity propaganda. There's never *not* going to be reasons fed to you selling this military intervention as special and completely necessary. That will be the case every single time, because that's how modern wars are packaged and presented [...]

Propaganda is the single most overlooked and underappreciated aspect of our society. It has far more influence over how the public thinks, acts and votes than any of our official mechanisms for doing so, yet it's barely discussed, it isn't taught in schools, and even the best political ideologies barely touch on it relative to their other areas of focus [...]

Our entire civilization is shaped by domestic propaganda." {10}

In regard to the O9A, in order to discredit it in the eyes of the public, the Establishment had to finally resort to black propaganda spread by a paid agent provocateur {11} as well as rely on the prejudice of antifascists and their political and journalist supporters who they rightly assumed would in their prejudice not only believe such propaganda and spread and embellish it but also use it as part of their decades-old campaign to discredit Mr Myatt who antifascists frantically believe is the architect of and the driving force behind the O9A.

That the public, as well as antifascists and their political and journalist supporters and others including academics, commit the fallacy of 'appeal to authority' and other fallacies in connection with the O9A and Mr Myatt, as well as never bother to research the O9A using primary sources {4} reveals, at least to the sagacious minority, not only just how uncultured the societies of the West in reality still are but how our societies and our people are moulded by propaganda. As Myatt wrote in his 2010 essay *A Moment Among My Reading: Some Problems With Modern Democracy*,

"A study of history, ancient and modern, indicates - at least to me - that such manipulation of the many by the few for the benefit of the few cannot, given human nature en masse, continue indefinitely. That there may well arise such a breakdown of basic services, such perceived inequality, such perceived injustices, such widespread discontent, that revolution, somewhere - peaceful or otherwise - seems almost inevitable, with the attendant suffering that revolutions often cause. Thus will the cyclical nature of human history repeat itself, for we humans apparently have not changed, en masse, significantly enough so that we are personally guided by such virtues as honesty, reason, and εὐταξία to thus be immune to the propagandistic machinations of politicians, demagogues, ideologues, and special interest groups. Instead, it seems that the same fears, hopes, and emotions, still guide us, just as the negative traits of old seem to still guide so many of those few who have, by whatever means, acquired power and authority over the majority.

Can this apparently inevitable suffering-causing cyclicity - such as that of governing cliques and their overthrow, and of the decline of societies - be avoided? My own personal - and admittedly fallible - answer is to reform modern democracy so that leaders and politicians must have such personal character-revealing experience as qualifies them to lead and to govern, with that personal experience consisting of proven and years-long 'front line' service to their country and to their people such as in the armed forces or serving as a 'first responder' in such occupations as paramedic, a police officer, and in the Fire & Rescue service.

However, such a reform by having character-revealing experience as a qualification for political office is unlikely to occur, given vested interests and - dare I say it - an education system which has neglected study in their original language of authors such as Thucydides, Herodotus, Aeschylus, Sophocles, Aristotle, Pliny, Tacitus, and Cicero." {12}

Kerri Scott  
2022 ev  
v. 1.03

{1} By the Establishment is meant those who in modern Western societies have the power, the means, to influence and to shape 'public opinion' on matters political and social. The Establishment thus includes politicians and the incumbent government and often the 'political opposition', large often multinational business enterprises, the mainstream Media (especially national newspapers and television news media), well-funded special-interest advocacy groups both political and business-orientated, established academics whose work has featured in mainstream publications, and so-called 'independent' or 'freelance' journalists whose work is used by the mainstream Media.

Multiculturalism postulates that a multiracial society is beneficial and preferable to an ethnic society composed of people of one or similar ethnicity and culture, with such a multiracial society giving people of non-Caucasian ethnicity and their culture special consideration which in practice has meant that 'Black' and 'Asian' culture and traditions and groups are encouraged and 'White' ancestral culture and traditions and White-only groups at best deprecated and at worst considered as 'racist' or belonging to the colonialist past.

The Establishment represents the orthodoxy, the zeitgeist, of a particular era, with adherence to or a belief in this orthodoxy a good indication of who or what is part of the Establishment. Thus the orthodoxy of the current Western current era includes the following: the dogma of racial equality; that multiculturalism is 'good'; that those who oppose multiculturalism are 'bad'; that the colonial past of many Western nations was a manifestation of 'racism'; that the Shoah cannot be disputed; that Hitler and National Socialist Germany were 'evil'; that the Zionist entity that now occupies Palestine should be supported with any public criticism of its policies at best 'ill-informed' and at worst amounting to 'anti-semitism'. And so on.

In effect, many of the tenets of antifascist ideology concerning multiculturalism, racism, and 'hate', are now mainstream, part of Establishment orthodoxy.

{2} <https://ctc.westpoint.edu/the-iron-march-forum-and-the-evolution-of-the-skull-mask-neo-fascist-network/>  
[Accessed May 2022 ev]

{3} The Establishment orthodoxy of our era states that 'authoress' - denoting a female author, and in use since c.1765 ev - is, along with terms such as actress and poetess, now considered a demeaning, derogatory, term; that is, is not politically correct.

{4}

"Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) "Stephen Brown", with reference to the two volumes of *The Satanic Letters*, 1992, and (ii) "Thorold West", with reference to the manuscript of *Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick*, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, *The Last Writings of Anton Long* published in 2012, and the classic texts *The Gentleman's - and Noble Ladies - Brief Guide to The Dark Arts* (2008), *Concerning Culling as Art* (2011) and *The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right* (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long's philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of *Hostia*, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled *Conquer, Destroy, Create* in volume II of *Hostia* - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy." *The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy*, <https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf>

{5} *The Occult Phantom Menace*, <https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/urban-myth-o9a-v7.pdf>

{6} *The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long*, <https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/urban-tale-myatt-o9a-v5-1.pdf>

{7} According to Myatt, in a modern democracy

"a country generally gets the leaders - presidents, prime ministers - and the government who and which tend to reflect, in their words, promises, and policies, the often changeable fears, hopes, and emotions of a majority of people at election time or at least of the percentage necessary to win an election; with such fears, hopes, and emotions often engendered by the Media, by a specific political party, by a 'social movement', and by 'special interest' (advocacy) groups or individuals with their own agendas, all of whom seek to influence 'public opinion' and the policies of politicians and governments. Such 'special interest' groups invariably include those with particular business and political concerns who have the financial resources to employ professional lobbyists, Media consultants, and propagandists.

The result is that the political party and/or particular advocacy groups who have the most money during elections campaigns, and who have the support of a substantial part of the Media, and/or who have a candidate for high office who is a persuasive public speaker, influence the result of elections, having persuaded or influenced the percentage of people necessary to win an election.

In other words, modern elections have become an often cynical process of targeting, persuading, and influencing, people (or specific types of people) by appealing to their fears, their hopes, their emotions, based on specific - and supra-personal - political, social, and business, agendas and interests." *A Moment Among My Reading: Some Problems With Modern Democracy*, <https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/2010/05/29/the-moment-of-my-reading/>

An archive copy of Myatt's article is available at <https://archive.org/download/demos-dwm/demos-dwm.pdf>

{8} The criteria of scholarship are: (i) a detailed, meticulous, unbiased original research on and concerning a specific topic or topics or subject undertaken over a year or more in duration and involving primary source material; (ii) an ability to be able to read primary sources in their original language; and (iii) a rational assessment of the knowledge acquired by such research, with such conclusions about the topic, topics, or subject being the logical result of the cumulative scholarly learning so acquired.

If the researcher cannot read primary sources in their original language and has to rely on the translations of others then their conclusions are not original and not scholarly just as if they commit logical fallacies - such as argumentum ad verecundiam - then their conclusions are also not scholarly.

{9} The term καλὸς κάγαθός refers to personal behaviour and thus to the classical virtues of τὸ ἀγαθόν, τὸ καλόν, and ἀρετή. As noted in *The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy*,

"In a 2021 interview Anton Long stated that the O9A ethos is succinctly expressed by three terms - πάθει-μάθος, καλὸς-κάγαθός, and kindred-honour and that καλὸς-κάγαθός enshrines noble personal behaviour and thus personal honour which thus precludes advocating and committing [...] dishonourable deeds."  
<https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf>

See also *Classical Paganism And The Christian Ethos* where Myatt writes:

"we are, ontologically, emanations of and presence Being, and are a connexion to the cosmos - to other presencings of Being - through, in terms of epistemology, not only reason (λόγος), perceivance (νοῦς) and wordless-awareness (συμπάθεια, empathy) but also through τὸ ἀγαθόν, τὸ καλόν, and ἀρετή, through the beautiful and the well-balanced, the valourous and honourable, and those who possess arête, all of which are combined in one Greek phrase: καλὸς κάγαθός, which means those who conduct themselves in a gentlemanly or lady-like manner and who thus manifest - because of their innate physis or through patheimathos or through a certain type of education or learning - nobility of character. Which Greek phrase expresses the ethics, the high personal standards, of the ancient paganus weltanschauung we have been discussing, and which standards naturally resulted in two things. First, in only a minority of individuals in a particular πόλις or civitas - community, tribe, clan, or society - manifesting such standards in their daily lives, with such a minority often forming a natural, and ruling, aristocracy. Second, that it was often a person who lived (and was prepared to die) by such high standards who, because of their character or based on a reputation established through valourous and noble deeds, became or was chosen as the leader or the chieftain of some community, tribe, clan, or society." <https://davidmyatt.files.wordpress.com/2018/03/classical-paganism-v2-print.pdf>

{10} <https://web.archive.org/web/20220428031815/https://caitlinjohnstone.com/2022/04/27/everyones-anti-war-until-the-war-propaganda-starts/>

{11} In regard to the State-sponsored anti-O9A narrative, its black propaganda, the agent provocateur Sutter and his FBI paycheck of nearly US\$ 100,000 for work done between 2018 and early 2020, see *Birth Of A Demonic Myth* in *The Occult Phantom Menace*, <https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/urban-myth-o9a-v7.pdf>

It has since come to light that the FBI also funded Sutter's Martinet Press and contracted authors to write the books published by Martinet Press, having paid Sutter nearly US\$ 80,000 plus expenses between 2015 and 2017, with Sutter thus having received almost a quarter of a million US dollars for his work as an agent provocateur. That Sutter has not been exposed by the mainstream Media or been the subject of investigative TV documentaries or the subject of questions asked about his FBI paychecks by opposition politicians in America and Britain, is indicative. As is the fact that on the few occasions Sutter has been mentioned by antifascist journalists he is dismissed as a mere FBI confidential informant (CI) which journalists when then asked why Sutter was paid nearly US\$ 100,000 by the FBI between 2018 and early 2020 do not reply for they know that no CI is paid that much money, just as these so-called 'investigative journalists' do not reply when asked who wrote the books published by Sutter's Martinet Press.

{12} <https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/2010/05/29/the-moment-of-my-reading/> An archive copy of Myatt's article is available at <https://archive.org/download/demos-dwm/demos-dwm.pdf>